INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61K7/48

onal Application No PCT/EP2005/002729

	SEARCHED		
Minimum of IPC 7	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classific $A61K$	cation symbols)	
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent the	at such documents are included in the fields s	earched .
Electronic o	lata base consulted during the international search (name of data	base and, where practical, search terms use	d)
EPO-In	ternal, PAJ, WPI Data, CHEM ABS Da	ta	
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	E.O. AJAIYEOBA ET AL.: "Antimic cytotoxicity evaluation of Buch coriacea stem bark" FITOTERAPIA, vol. 74, 2003, pages 706-709, X the whole document	holzia	1-10
A	E.O. AJAIYEOBA: AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BIOMEDICAL RI vol. 3, no. 3, 2000, pages 161- XP002330675 the whole document	ESEARCH, 165,	1-10
Furt	ner documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed	in annex.
 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 		"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "8" document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report	
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	иси героп
13 June 2005		28/06/2005	
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016		Authorized officer . Boeker, R	

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/EP2005/002729

•	Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)			
	This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:			
	1. X Claims Nos.: ———————————————————————————————————			
	Although claims 5-8 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.			
	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:			
	3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).			
P.	Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)			
4	This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:			
NA NA				
5				
REST AVAILABLE COPY	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.			
19	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.			
~				
	3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:			
	4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:			
	Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.			